

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/575,298	05/19/00	ELENIUS		Р	5833-A-11
			٦ [EXAMINER	
		MM91/0712	•		•
CAHILL SUTT	ON & THOMAS	FLC	· _	PAREKI	1. N
ATTN MARVIN	I A GLAZER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
155 PARK ON	•				
2141 EAAST HIGHLAND AVENUE				2811	
PHOENIX AZ				DATE MAILED:	
					07/12/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/575,298

Applicant(s)

Elenius et al

Examiner

Nitin Parekh

Art Unit 2811



The	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cov r sheet with the corre				
Period for Re	• •					
THE MAILIN	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
after SIX (6	f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	ation.	•			
be conside	ered timely.		•			
communic			<u>-</u>			
- Any reply rec	oly within the set or extended period for reply will, by si beived by the Office later than three months after the r tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	`:					
1) 🛛 Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 4</u>	<u>1, 2001</u>				
·	•	s action is non-final.				
	e this application is in condition for allowand d in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>					
Disposition of	of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim	(s) <u>1-22</u>		is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of	the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera			
5) 🗌 Claim	(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim	(s)		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 Claim	(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 💢 Claims	s <u>1-22</u>	are subject t	to restriction and/or election requirem			
Application F	Papers Papers					
9) 🗌 The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🗌 The di	rawing(s) filed on	_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The pr	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved	b) ☐ disapproved.			
12) ☐ The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Ackno	owledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
a)□ All	b) Some* c) None of:					
_	Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
	Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s National Stage			
	attached detailed Office action for a list of to owledgement is made of a claim for domest	·				
14) LI AURIO	wiedgement is made of a daim for domest	tic buourd auries 33 o.3.o. 8 i i afe).				
Attachment(s)						
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N				
	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u> </u>	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) Unformation	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					

Art Unit: 2811

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 16-22, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass
 773.
 - II. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device/apparatus, classified in class 438, subclass 618.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the process of the group II invention, since the device of group I invention could be made by the processes different from those of group II invention. For example, by forming a solder bar pad by molding the solder in the final shape of the raised solder mass.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09575298

Art Unit: 2811

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2811 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit via Technology Center 2800 fax center located

in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice

published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number in (703) 305-3410. The

examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 08:30 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Nitin Parekh

07-01-01

10m 1pour

Page 3

TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER